



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/726,281	12/01/2003	Cynthia L. Little		5266

7590 04/25/2005

Donald W. Meeker
Patent Agent
924 East Ocean Front #E
Newport Beach, CA 92661

EXAMINER

PRICE, RICHARD THOMAS JR

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3643

DATE MAILED: 04/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/726,281

Applicant(s)

LITTLE, CYNTHIA L.

Examiner

Thomas Price

Art Unit

3643

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11-12-2004.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3 and 4 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3 and 4 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

The Applicant's election without traverse of Species II of Figures 2 and 2A, on which claim 3 is readable, is noted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsengas '081 U.S. Patent 5,730,081 in view of Lorenzana et al U.S. Patent 6,119,628 and Brown U.S. Patent 4,658,759.

Tsengas teaches an animal feeder assembly which is structurally similar to the Applicant's claimed pet feeding table device. The apparatus of Tsengas includes a pair of round open top containers 528, each container having a top circular opening and inwardly sloping sides to permit easy access. A pet table eating surface 512 comprising a thick slab-like of elongated plastic structured in a pet specific shape. The pet table eating surface is supported by four heavy thick rigid legs 114 securely attached below the pet table eating surface. The pet table eating surface includes two round spaced bowl openings in the pet table eating surface along structured to receive the pair of bowls therein. At least one indentation (unnumbered) in the pet specific shape intruding into the pet table eating surface to a point immediately adjacent to at least one of the

Art Unit: 3643

containers to provide a close pet access space so that a neck of the pet is positioned immediately adjacent to the container. See Figure 15C. However, Tsengas does not teach the use of a wood material, but plastic having a ballast material contained therein. Further, Tsengas does not teach the use of a stainless steel material to form the bowls, nor holes positioned in the top surface of feeding table in order to place the bowls therein. Lorenzana et al teach an animal water dispensing apparatus including a stainless steel bowl structure. Brown teaches dog feeding tray means having a table top surface with a hole positioned therethrough. A bowl is placed in the hole about the table top surface,

In regards to claim 1, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Tsengas with a stainless steel bowl and bowl receiving hole, in view of the teachings of Lorezana et al and Brown, in order to minimize the possibility of the bowl rusting and to easily receive the bowl about the table top surface. Further, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of Tsengas as modified by Lorezana et al and Brown with a wood table top material, in that, the plastic material of Tsengas and a wood material are considered to be structurally equivalent and as a result, the wood material is considered to be obvious. Regarding claim 2, as seen in Figure 15C, the pet table eating surface is in the shape of a dog bone having rounded expanded bone ends at each of the two ends of the pet table eating surface to receive two legs at each end. As for claim 3, to form the animal feeder assembly of Tsengas into a fish-like shaped is considered to be an obvious

Art Unit: 3643

matter of choice in mechanical or aesthetic design, and as a result, is considered to be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made. Regarding claim 4, Tsengas speaks of the importance to provide the hollow legs with a ballast material in order to restrict movement by the animal during feeding or drinking. As a result, a wood material used to form the legs of Tsengas is considered to be obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made because it is believed to be structurally equivalent to the plastic material, i.e. rigid, easily formed, inexpensive and having sufficient structural abilities.

Response to Amendment

With regard to the Applicant's argument, it is well known to use a stainless steel material in the formation of animal bowls, in that the material reduces the chance of rusting in an outdoor environment and is durable. This type of bowl is taught by the reference to Lorezana et al.

Applicant's arguments filed 11-12-2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive, in that, the arguments are moot based on the new grounds of rejection as necessitated by the Applicant's amendment to the claims.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 3643

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

Summary: Claims 1, 3 and 4 are rejected, while claim 2 is withdrawn as a non-elected claim.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas Price whose telephone number is 571-272-6892. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30a.m. to 5:00p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Poon can be reached on 571-272-6891. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3643

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Thomas Price

Primary Examiner GAU: 3643

Rt[